

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/639,589	08/13/2003	Kenji Suzuki	03500.013766.1	7700
5514	7590 05/27/2004		EXAM	INER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			YAN, RI	EN LUO
	K, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
•			DATE MAILED: 05/27/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/639,589	SUZUKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Ren L Yan	2854
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sin. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13 August 2003	
pana,	This action is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for all		ters prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und		
	· ·	7. 11, 400 0.0. 210.
Disposition of Claims		•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-15</u> is/are pending in the applic		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		hy the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner Note the attached	(S) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	o Examinor. Note the attached	of Office Action of John F 10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents.		pplication No.
Copies of the certified copies of the 		
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
Attachment(s)	~	
1)	4) L Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-13-2003. 	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 12-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 5, 6 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,650,350. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 12-15 of the present application are directed to the same invention as claimed in the parent with a broader scope and the claimed subject matter has been taught by the claims in the patent.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oldfield et al(5,387,573). The patent to Oldfield et al teaches the method of forming images as claimed

Art Unit: 2854

including the steps of conducting printing multicolor image on a recording medium provided with an image-receiving layer, providing a protective film containing thermoplastic resin particles onto the printed image, and heating and pressurizing the thermoplastic resin particles using a thermal print head and a rubber roller so as to form a clear protective layer on the printed image. See column 2, line 39 through column 4, line 15, column 8, lines 33-68, and claims 8-14 in Oldfield et al for details. With respect to claim 13, the filming step is met by the heating and pressurizing the thermoplastic resin particles by the engagement of the thermal print head and the rubber roller to form a clear protective film on the printed image as taught by Oldfield et al. with respect to claims 14 and 15, Oldfield et al teach to use particle sizes of 8 micron or less and to flatten the protective layer on the printed image at less than 1 micron thick which falls right into the average particle size range of 0.05 to 3 micron as recited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren L Yan whose telephone number is 571-272-2173. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 571-272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ren L Yan

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan May 24, 2004